Different Positive Predictive Values of Commercially Available Human Immunodeficiency Virus Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays

We report our findings concerning the positive predictive values (PPVs) of commercially available human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) antibody tests in an evaluation of HIV prevalence in Peru. The specificity of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) varies according to multiple factors, including antigen characteristics, avidity of serum antibodies, patient comorbidities, and specimen processing (2–4). Despite high test specificity, our study found significant variability in the PPVs of several commercially available HIV ELISA kits.

As part of a large HIV/STD Collaborative Prevention Trial sponsored by the U.S. National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) and conducted by the NIMH Collaborative HIV/STD Prevention Trial Group, two population-based surveys were performed to evaluate the prevalence of HIV type 1 (HIV-1) infection and sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) in Lima, Peru, and in northern Peru in 2001 and 2003. While the 2001 study (survey 1) evaluated the general population, the 2003 study (survey 2) examined groups at higher risk for infection. Sera were screened by ELISA for antibodies to HIV-1 using Vironostika (bioMérieux, Inc., Durham, NC) and for antibodies to HIV-1/HIV-2 using Genscreen Plus Ag-Ab (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Marnes la Coquette, France) or Genetic Systems (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). We confirmed ELISA-positive samples by HIV-1 Western blotting using New Lav Blot I (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) or Genetic Systems (Bio-Rad USA). Due to several reported “indeterminate” results in survey 1 using New Lav Blot I, these samples were retested with Genetic Systems Western blot kit. ELISA-positive samples in survey 2 were confirmed by using only the Genetic Systems Western blot kit. Results from the Genetic Systems assay were used as the gold standard for determining PPVs in subsequent surveys despite the lower prevalence of disease in the general population tested in survey 1 (P = 1.000).

Despite optimal test specificity, our study illustrates the drastic variation in the PPVs of these assays when applied to large-scale HIV testing. Seemingly minor differences in test specificity are amplified when used in population-based studies, even in communities with what is considered a relatively high prevalence of disease. These results emphasize the value of selecting an ELISA according to the characteristics of the testing population and the critical importance of confirming any positive ELISA results by Western blot analysis.

This work was conducted as part of the NIMH Collaborative HIV/STD Prevention Trial Group project. This study was partially supported by LP-CRADA NM-04-1787 and work unit no. 847705 82000 25GB B0016. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, or the U.S. government.

**TABLE 1. Test performance of HIV ELISA and Western blot assays in population-based surveys in Peru in 2000 to 2003**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey, population, and yr</th>
<th>HIV-1 prevalence (%)</th>
<th>ELISA</th>
<th>Western blot confirmation&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. of positive sera</td>
<td>PPV (%) (CI [%])&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey 1, general population, 2001 (n = 1,363)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Vironostika</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Genscreen</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey 2, higher-risk communities, 2003 (n = 1,255)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Vironostika</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Genetic Systems</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> CI, confidence interval.

<sup>b</sup> The number of indeterminate results with New Lav Blot I in survey 1 is shown in parentheses. ND, not done.
The study protocol was approved by the Naval Medical Research Center Institutional Review Board (protocol NMRCD.2002.0007 [DoD 31555]) in compliance with all federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects.
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