The current state of play of research on the social, political and legal dimensions of HIV

O estado atual da pesquisa sobre as dimensões sociais, políticas e legais do HIV

Estado actual de la investigación sobre las dimensiones sociales, políticas y legales del VIH

Abstract

This paper offers a critical overview of social science research presented at the 2014 International AIDS Conference in Melbourne, Australia. In an era of major biomedical advance, the political nature of HIV remains of fundamental importance. No new development can be rolled out successfully without taking into account its social and political context, and consequences. Four main themes ran throughout the conference track on social and political research, law, policy and human rights: first, the importance of work with socially vulnerable groups, now increasingly referred to as “key populations”; second, continued recognition that actions and programs need to be tailored locally and contextually; third, the need for an urgent response to a rapidly growing epidemic of HIV among young people; and fourth, the negative effects of the growing criminalization of minority sexualities and people living with HIV. Lack of stress on human rights and community participation is resulting in poorer policy globally. A new research agenda is needed to respond to these challenges.
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Resumo

Este artigo oferece uma perspectiva crítica da pesquisa em ciências sociais apresentada na Conferência Internacional de AIDS de Melbourne, Austrália, em 2014. Em tempos de grandes avanços no campo biomédico, a natureza política do HIV permanece de importância fundamental. Nenhuma inovação será bem-sucedida na prática se desconsiderar o contexto sociopolítico e suas consequências. Quatro temas emergiram da Conferência nos campos do direito, dos direitos humanos e da pesquisa social e política: (1) a importância do trabalho com grupos socialmente vulneráveis, crescentemente chamado de "populações chaves"; (2) o reconhecimento de que ações e programas devem ser sob medida para cada local e contexto; (3) a urgência da resposta a uma epidemia crescendo muito rapidamente entre adolescentes; (4) o efeito negativo da crescente criminalização de minorias sexuais e pessoas vivendo com HIV. Globalmente, a falta de ênfase nos direitos humanos e da participação comunitária tem como resultado políticas públicas de pior qualidade. Precisamos de uma nova agenda de pesquisa para responder a esses desafios.
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The 20th International AIDS Conference (AIDS 2014) was held in Melbourne, Australia between 20 and 25 July, 2014. Activists, scientists, policymakers, politicians and others came together to present and learn about the current state of knowledge with regard to HIV and the HIV response globally. This paper provides an overview of cutting edge research relevant to conference track D, which focused on “Social and Political Research, Law, Policy and Human Rights”. It is based on individual reports and summaries from 89 plenary and abstract-driven sessions, together with symposia, workshops and bridging sessions at the conference, some of which were published on the conference website during the course of the meeting (20th International AIDS Conference. Rapporteur summaries. http://www.aids2014.org/Default.aspx?pageId=731, accessed on 15/Nov/2014).

Politics as an overarching concern

A striking feature of the conference discourse was its recognition of the inherently political nature of the response to HIV. In plenary sessions, presentations and debates, even those focusing on clinical and epidemiological issues, we heard repeated calls to avoid an over-simplification of reality when rallying for “the end of AIDS” and “zero new infections” even though both may be important aspirations 1. Politics, as a key determinant of an effective HIV response, was recognized with much greater visibility and by a wider range of stakeholders than has been the case at recent international AIDS conferences.

The conference started with the breaking news of the crash of an aircraft from over the sky of Ukraine, which took the lives of 298 people, including several who were on their way to the conference. We all lost friends or colleagues through this tragic act of violence. As emotional as this beginning was, it also emphasized the importance of world politics, and reminded us how much the HIV epidemic has always been affected by varieties of international and institutional conflict.

The importance of politics was also clear in events leading up to this conference. For example, the World Health Organization (WHO) launched the Consolidated Guidelines on HIV Prevention, Diagnosis, Treatment and Care for Key Populations 2 – in which “key populations” are defined as including gay and other men who have sex with men (MSM), transgender people, sex workers, prison inmates, and people who inject drugs (PWID). The session on these guidelines emphasized the need to address “critical enablers” including community empowerment, as well as the decriminalization of these populations’ practices and of HIV transmission, in places where these are illegal 2.

However, consensus on how to mitigate the disproportional HIV burden experienced by key populations was often challenged by misunderstandings and distortions. One clear example of this was the media coverage relating to pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). WHO issued a strong recommendation to include PrEP as an HIV prevention strategy for MSM, which the media distorted in ways that reproduced discriminatory stereotypes. Media reports gave no recognition to the diverse levels of risk that may exist within this population group, and that may make PrEP unnecessary for many MSM, suggesting a return to notions of uniform “risk groups” that have long been abandoned in HIV prevention and research. Such misunderstanding highlighted the fact that even in an era of major biomedical advance, no new approach to prevention or treatment can be rolled out successfully without taking into account social and political circumstances and their consequences 3. Such an understanding informs the perspectives on major track D themes, offered below.

Four themes organize our discussion of the conference track: first, the continued importance of work with socially vulnerable groups and “key populations”; second, continued recognition that actions and programs need to be tailored locally and contextually; third, the need for an urgent response to a rapidly growing epidemic of AIDS among young people; and fourth, the negative effects of the growing criminalization of minority sexualities and people living with HIV.

Key populations: shifts in terminology, intersectionality and diversity

Over the three decades of the epidemic, numerous shifts in terminology – and conceptualization – of groups and practices have taken place; for example, from “risk groups” to “vulnerable populations” to “most-at-risk populations”, and now to “key populations”. These shifts often appear to portray progress (dropping stigmatizing terms in favor of more politically-correct phrases), but they do little to change the material circumstances of people who routinely face stigma and discrimination and lack of access to basic health care. Members of these key populations commented across different conference sessions that the development of new terminology by international organizations often feels like a distraction from concrete action by donors, re-
searchers and governments, or a way to maintain a misplaced sense of optimism when things are often getting worse.4,5

The adoption of the term “vulnerable populations” was, in the 1990s, considered progressive by many, to the extent that it highlighted their social nature and the fact that their heightened risk was importantly explained by societal factors.6 A subsequent term, “most-at-risk populations” (or MARPs), adopted in specific funders’ language, seemed to regress to “risk groups”, and was then replaced by “key affected populations” (KAPs) or, more recently, “key populations” (KPs). This series of changes may be considered to mask the social conditions in which these groups are constituted. The mass of research findings consistently describe considerable diversity within each of these KPs.7,8,9,10,11 Yet, the term key population seems to mask this diversity, and also fails to allow for an explicit consideration of intersectionality – namely, the social inequalities (class/poverty, race/ethnicity and gender) that cut across all these populations, rendering some of their members more systematically cut off, vulnerable and at risk than others, and highlighting the need for legal reform and increased realization of human rights in multiple contexts.12,13,14,15 Some studies also pointed out that projects that consider members of key populations as “whole persons” rather than as vectors of diseases, are often not funded or are under-funded.16,17

Women everywhere, but particularly female sex workers, transgender and indigenous women, about whom much was discussed in this conference, are deeply affected by this epidemi-c17,18,19,20,21,22,23. Non-realization of their right to health and persistent violations of a myriad of their human rights has led to violence and vulnerability to HIV alongside unwanted pregnancies, coerced abortions and forced sterilization.22,24,25

Exemplary community responses to gender inequality have inspired actions locally.26 However, too often absent from local responses are measures to ensure the health, wellbeing and human rights of people living with HIV and other key populations, and to effectively reach all groups with HIV-related services.2,8,21,27. Time and again, conference presenters highlighted the need for adequate resourcing for collective organization and participation, including strong mobilization by the members of most affected groups.28

“Life is complicated”: one size will never fit all

Social life is frequently more complex than even the most sophisticated clinical trials or the lengthiest program guidelines can capture. Put simply, there are many factors influencing the way we are, how we think, and how we behave.29 HIV responses must take into account how people change throughout their lifespan, and across different structural and cultural contexts: “one size will never fit all.”27,29. Presentation after presentation at the conference highlighted how actions and programs need to be tailored locally, contextually, and historically.30 A better understanding of local epidemics is needed in all countries, and this can best be achieved by communities being encouraged to express their views.

Participatory social science research is crucial in this respect.9,29. It has helped us understand, for example, motivations to commence taking PrEP – such as trust in the drug or the access to care that use may provide – or to stay off PrEP – such as a fear of side effects, lack of need given condom use, lack of sex, or competing health priorities.31 Social science reported at the conference also interrogated how new prevention technologies affect issues such as stigma, highlighting how recent critiques of PrEP and an emphasis on sexual irresponsibility interfere both with the linkages between sex and pleasure, and existing and future forms of prevention.32,33

Although still under-utilized, community-based empowerment is a promising approach to action with meta-analysis showing improvement in a range of HIV-related outcomes. Community leadership and involvement encourages social cohesion, collective participation and access to services.34 For example, being part of a community-based organization was shown to increase quality of life and healthy behaviors among drug users.35

Several presentations highlighted innovative community approaches to resisting stigma and discrimination, such as the use of social media, the internet and mobile phones in outreach work with sex workers, young girls and young MSM, as well as with indigenous youth.36 These new media can combat hopelessness and isolation, and also be powerful in highlighting “stories of resistance and survival.”37,38,39 These accounts are embedded within local cultures and therefore critical to understanding how best a local HIV response might be tailored.

Numerous speakers stressed, however, that it is often difficult to mobilize participation and identify population needs when many sexual activities remain taboo and poorly understood.
It is astonishing that, even after 25 years of the HIV epidemic, sexuality and sexual pleasure are still largely absent from discourses of prevention and care, as well as treatment as prevention. Moreover, sexual health remains set apart from reproductive health, although pregnancy and HIV infection may occur in the same sexual encounter. All of this is especially important from the perspective of young people, a group often excluded from HIV-related community mobilization efforts.

The “exploding epidemic” among adolescents

Numerous presentations focused on what was described as an “exploding epidemic” among adolescents (10-19 years old). AIDS is the leading cause of death among adolescents in Africa as well as the second cause of death globally. It is remarkable that at the same time as AIDS-related deaths have fallen across all age groups, the number of adolescent deaths from AIDS has increased. Critically, about two thirds of new HIV infections among young people are among girls. Across a wide range of contexts, HIV is concentrated in adolescent MSM, adolescents who use drugs, transgender adolescents and adolescents who sell sex. All are highly stigmatized and face exclusion from services (preventive care, protection, treatment, care and support) due to the illegality of their behaviors and/or their sexual orientation.

Throughout the conference, program implementers stressed that working with young people in the lead was the only way to operate effectively. Furthermore, they noted that HIV combination prevention can also work for young people when they are involved in its design and implementation. The need to focus on young people’s creativity, resourcefulness and resilience was noted, as well as the importance of breaking down the structural barriers that make it difficult for young people to take meaningful action to protect themselves and others.

Various sessions brought together young people from different countries and communities with leaders from governments and academia. Young people living with HIV were vocal during discussions advocating for their substantial (not token) participation as part of the solution. A human rights-based “youth action plan, not a youth declaration” was developed with young people’s participation through an “eConsultation” and at the AIDS 2014 Youth Pre-Conference. The youth action plan calls for changing property laws and removing barriers to treatment and care; removing age-restrictions and parental consent for accessing health information and services; prioritizing laws and policies that promote young people’s health over punitive laws; providing non-discriminatory, non-judgmental, rights-based, gender sensitive, youth friendly and evidence-based HIV prevention and treatment literacy; reducing stigma and discrimination; strengthening rights-based organizations; and ensuring youth participation and involvement as equal partners, with young people helping to tailor any interventions to their local context.

The damaging effects of criminalization

For the first time in a major international AIDS conference, not only was criminalization raised within the traditional contexts of law and human rights, but it was squarely part of broader discussions around HIV prevention, implementation research and intersections with other sectors beyond health. Different types of criminalization were discussed: the criminalization of HIV exposure, HIV transmission, non-disclosure of HIV status, access to HIV services for certain populations, and a range of behaviors such as sex between men, sex work and drug use. The “epidemic of bad laws” that criminalize populations and behaviours and the lack of enforcement of protective laws were widely discussed at the conference, with clear evidence presented of the negative impact of criminalization on key and other most-affected populations.

Harmful and badly designed laws perpetuate violence, hinder access to services and violate human rights. The legal situation in Nigeria, for example, is such that not only are MSM fearful of identifying themselves, but doctors, teachers and other professionals may refuse to provide services to them for fear of prosecution. Following the recent enactment of the same sex marriage (prohibition) law, the number of MSM accessing specific HIV services in Abuja, Nigeria, fell from 60 to 15 monthly. In addition, 73% of MSM surveyed expressed declining interest in attending HIV-related services following the enactment of the law. Unable to access formal services, MSM in Nigeria have turned to mobile phone-based networking applications to create support networks beyond official scrutiny.

HIV vulnerability among young people is also exacerbated by laws that impede access to services (e.g. the prohibition of provision of contraception to unmarried girls or adolescents’ need for parental consent to access HIV testing). By the age of 14, most countries consider young people...
old enough to stand trial for a criminal offence, but many of these same countries do not consider them old enough to make decisions about their own health 54. In many national settings, there is moreover a lack of consistency in laws about when young people can consent to sex, marry, and access sexual and reproductive health services—all of which may be disconnected from when young people actually start having sex 55,56. Complex and contradictory laws such as these are key social and political barriers to an effective HIV response in all continents.

Back to politics (and the politics of evidence)

It is important to emphasize that, as one presentation put it, “evidence doesn’t speak for itself” 57. It always has to be interpreted, and translated into practice. During the conference, delegates learned that good intentions (along with new terminologies and even new technologies) are not always matched with good programs. Throughout the meeting there was clear evidence that elements of the HIV response are likely to be most useful, especially for most affected populations, when they are tailored to context, designed to meet community needs, recognize people’s autonomy, and when they ensure community engagement in all elements of the response 58,59.

People need to benefit from new biomedical technologies, but voluntarily, consciously, and without having them substitute for other effective forms of intervention 9. For example, the introduction of PrEP should not be used as an excuse to close down or fail to establish drug-related harm reduction programs focused on needle and syringe provision or exchange, or methadone replacement therapy 28,60. If stigma and structural vulnerability are not addressed, then replacing a biomedically sound but politically difficult strategy such as the provision of sterile injecting equipment, with a newer biomedical strategy may leave conditions of social vulnerability unaddressed. Continued work is also required to counter ideological positions that limit or impede access to effective interventions in light of clear evidence 52,61 as in the case of providing sterile injecting equipment. National authorities need to recognize the impact of the criminalization of key populations in fueling the HIV epidemic and transform legal environments to ensure that they are fully supportive of an effective HIV response for all population groups.

Evidence is available, but it is not necessarily shaping policy. This is particularly important as new challenges emerge on the horizon. Throughout the conference, clear evidence was presented of the positive impact that well-designed structural approaches can have: different sessions throughout the conference exemplified action on social drivers to end both HIV and extreme poverty 62,63,64. Investment in social protection, while expensive, is cost-effective for countries in the long run. In South Africa, combining strategies such as the provision of cash and care (supporting family and school care) halved boys’ risk of acquiring HIV and showed a cumulative impact among girls 65. Among drug users, microfinance training and loan provision, providing practical tools to defend drug users’ rights, challenging common misconceptions and building consensus among key stakeholders at the local level, increased the uptake of comprehensive services 66.

Research with MSM in India showed that those with a stronger sense of collective agency and knowledge of human rights were less likely to report experiences of violence and were more able to respond positively to HIV 62.

With 14 million people in receipt of ART, demand for second- and third-line therapies is growing in a context of increasing treatment costs exacerbated by the implementation of “free trade” agreements that impede access to generic medicines 67,68. At the same time, funding cuts threaten the HIV response at global and national levels, especially in middle-income countries. Political priorities, encompassing trade and financing, thereby shape the feasibility of effectively addressing HIV.

Towards a new agenda for action

While the centrality of the International AIDS Conference has diminished over time (e.g. increased success in treatment access; an increased number of smaller meetings; and a simultaneous increase in on-line exchange), the meeting remains the largest biennial HIV global gathering and retains a considerable amount of symbolic impact.

Fortunately, after many attempts to discover a “magic bullet” for HIV prevention, researchers (both social and biomedical alike) increasingly accept the need for a form of “combination prevention”, that is not limited to biomedical intervention. Any efficacious biomedical strategy requires both behavioral and structural components if it is to be successfully scaled up, and improving the broader legal and policy environment remains a major challenge to improving the HIV response globally. Building on this theme, one presenter issued a call for a “vaccine against intolerance”, a “condom to protect against vio-
lence” and a new form of “pre-hate prophylaxis” that will help people behave more respectfully to one other, protect people from violence and allow them to treat the effects of discrimination 9.

Remarkably, Brazil, well known early on for its rights-based approach to HIV prevention and care 69,70, did not provide any significant input into this debate. Presentations in the Conference discussed the national government’s effort to monitor its commitment to universal access to treatment and the recent commitment to promote treatment as prevention 71,72,73,74,75,76. On the other hand, many presentations showed how the centrality of human rights in prevention efforts have been lost, and how support for civil society participation, a principle characteristic of the Brazilian Unified National Health System (SUS), has decreased 77,78. Since 2011, challenged by increasingly vocal conservative religious clergy and politicians, the federal government has censored programmes and campaigns on non-discrimination targeting MSM and sex workers 79. The local sustainability of landmark forms of comprehensive HIV prevention education has been undermined 80. Unacceptably high levels of unprotected sex among MSM 81 and the growing profile of the adolescent HIV epidemic in the country 10, can both be linked to the neglect of human rights which heightens the growing vulnerability to HIV of sex workers, MSM and transgender people 12.

The major lessons shared at this International AIDS Conference should define the agenda for research and action on HIV. Researchers and networks committed to human rights perspectives on social, psychosocial and political research are critical to the future of the new generations still affected by this pandemic. Significant efforts are required to disseminate critical thinking, to develop methodological/technical and practical tools to country specific contexts, to promote innovative practices and protocols that consider cultural diversity at local level and change the legal context, and to share knowledge on how best to promote the participation of most vulnerable populations.

Throughout the meeting, presentations confirmed that research and interventions in countries with heightened social and programmatic vulnerability have been innovative in producing theories and methodologies that range from structural interventions to face-to-face prevention and care approaches that may help address inequality and discrimination, and lead to higher access to comprehensive health promotion and care. Networks that foster south-south collaboration seem crucial, as these innovations and perspectives that fall outside the mainstream are frequently not thought about or written up originally in English.

Countries such as South Africa, where a substantial gap still remains in ensuring universal access to HIV treatment, are involved in studies both of new biomedical prevention technologies and structural interventions, such as cash transfers to young people. Countries such as Brazil, with good access to treatment and primary health care have not so far become involved in these efforts and have done little to use the experience of others to reduce social vulnerability to HIV. Learning from these efforts is crucial to rejuvenating scientific and programming leadership.

Theoretical innovation may also benefit from this work. We need better conceptualizations of how structural and programmatic barriers produce greater vulnerability to HIV and AIDS for young people, for key populations and for other groups. It is important to remember here that terms such as “adolescent”, which define an age group; and like “MSM”, “sex workers” and “people who inject drugs”, all of whom are defined as key populations; are themselves social products, created for specific political and social purposes that generally hide considerable diversity of perspectives, experiences, risk and vulnerability. In future work, we should never presume a universal psycho-social profile of adolescence or youth, nor disregard the enormous differences produced by dynamics of gender, class, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, and the laws and policies that inform education and health services.

In a world facing so many difficult challenges, one of the crucial points discussed during the conference was how to ensure that HIV remains a priority in the post-2015 development agenda. Doing this will require intensive inter-sectoral work, and broadened ways of thinking about health, not just as a technical issue, but as a political concern as well. This, at a minimum, will require a focus on universal health coverage as the path to achieving the control of HIV and maintaining people’s health. This will be possible only if we manage to shift from short-term responses to long-term human rights-based approaches, addressing HIV as a broad-based social and political issue as well as a health concern. It also highlights the importance of working through human, social and economic development perspectives in order to achieve sustainable development, to create a more inclusive development agenda, and to eliminate all forms of discrimination through an on-going commitment to health and human rights 82.
Resumen

El artículo ofrece una perspectiva crítica de la investigación en ciencias sociales, presentada en la Conferencia Internacional de SIDA en Melbourne (Australia), 2014. En tiempos de enormes avances biomédicos, la naturaleza política del VIH sigue siendo muy importante. Ninguna innovación será exitosa sin considerar el contexto sociopolítico y sus consecuencias. Cuatro temas surgieron de la conferencia en el campo legal y derechos humanos, además de investigación social y política: (1) la importancia del trabajo con grupos socialmente vulnerables, crecientemente denominados “poblaciones claves”; (2) el reconocimiento de que las acciones y programas deben ser adaptados a un contexto local; (3) la urgencia de una respuesta a una epidemia con crecimiento rápido entre adolescentes; (4) el efecto negativo de la creciente criminalización de las minorías sexuales y personas viviendo con VIH. Globalmente, un limitado énfasis en los derechos humanos y la participación comunitaria tiene como consecuencia peores políticas públicas. Necesitamos una nueva agenda de investigación para responder a estos desafíos.
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